

Nahm Transform for Higgs bundles

Pedro Frejlich and Marcos Jardim
 IMECC - UNICAMP
 Departamento de Matemática
 Caixa Postal 6065
 13083-970 Campinas-SP, Brazil

May 16, 2008

Abstract

We construct the Nahm transform for Higgs bundles over a Riemann surface of genus at least 2 as hyperholomorphic connections on the total space of the tangent bundle of its dual Jacobian.

2000 MSC: 53C07, 53C26

Keywords: Nahm transform, Higgs bundles, hyperholomorphic connections

1 Introduction

Roughly speaking, the Nahm transform is a nonlinear analogue of the Fourier transform, transforming anti-self-dual connections on the Euclidean $\mathbb{R}^4$ which are invariant under a subgroup of translations $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ into anti-self-dual connections on the dual Euclidean space $(\mathbb{R}^4)^\vee$ which are invariant under the dual subgroup of translations $\Lambda^\vee \subset (\mathbb{R}^4)^\vee$. Although the construction is in principle well understood for any subgroup of translations Λ (see [14] for a survey), analytical details vary for each Λ .

Particularly relevant to this paper is the case $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$, $\Lambda^\vee = \mathbb{Z}^2$, considered by the second named author in [12, 13] and in collaboration with O. Biquard in [2]. There, it was shown that the Nahm transform provides a 1-1 correspondence between singular solutions of Hitchin's equations on a 2-dimensional torus T^2 and anti-self-dual connections on $(T^2)^\vee \times \mathbb{R}^2$ satisfying certain conditions at infinity (which are equivalent to the existence of a holomorphic extension to $(T^2)^\vee \times S^2$). Here, $(T^2)^\vee$ is meant to denote the 2-dimensional torus dual to T^2 . The main goal of the present paper is to generalize the Nahm transform of solutions of Hitchin's equations on a 2-dimensional torus T^2 to solutions of Hitchin's equations on a Riemann surface Σ of genus $g \geq 2$. More precisely, denoting by $J^\vee$ the dual to the Jacobian of Σ we prove:

Main Theorem. *The Nahm transform of an irreducible solution of Hitchin's equations of rank at least 2 over a Riemann surface Σ of genus $g \geq 2$ is a Hermitian vector bundle $\widehat{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma)$ equipped with a hyperholomorphic unitary connection $\widehat{\nabla}$. Moreover, the holomorphic structure induced by $\widehat{\nabla}$ on $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$ with respect to a product complex structure on $J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma)$ extends to a holomorphic bundle over $J^\vee \times \mathbb{P}(H^0(K_\Sigma) \oplus \mathbb{C})$.*

The problem of characterizing the Nahm transform of solutions of Hitchin's equations has a long history. It was first suggested in García-Prada's PhD thesis [6, Section 4.4] that the Nahm transform of a unitary Hermitian-Einstein connection on Σ should be a Hermitian-Einstein connection on its Jacobian. More recently, Tejero Prieto considered the Nahm and Fourier–Mukai transforms for Riemann surfaces of higher genus [18]. Also closely related to the present paper is Bonsdorff's Fourier–Mukai transform for stable Higgs bundles on Σ [3]; in fact our construction, which is carried out in Section 4, is the differential geometric analogue of the algebraic geometric construction of [3], with the advantage of providing one additional piece of information: the existence of the hyperholomorphic unitary connection on $J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma)$; using twistor theory, Bonsdorff constructed an auto-dual connection, see [4].

This paper also completes the picture for the Nahm transform of Higgs bundles on Riemann surfaces. The genus 0 case was recently considered by Szabo in [17], while the genus 1 case was studied in [12, 13], as mentioned above. One peculiar aspect of the story is that, since there are no smooth Higgs bundles over surfaces of genus 0 and 1, the authors of [12, 13, 17] were forced to consider *singular* Higgs bundles. In this work we only consider the Nahm transform of smooth Higgs bundles; an interesting sequel to this paper would be the construction of the Nahm transform for singular Higgs bundles over surfaces of genus at least two, and see what new features arise. In addition, analytical aspects of the transformed hyperholomorphic connection which were studied in the genus 0 and genus 1 cases in [2, 12, 13, 17] are not explored in this paper and deserve further research.

Acknowledgements. P.F.'s research was supported by the CNPq grant number 130226/2005-0. M.J. is partially supported by the CNPq grant number 300991/2004-5, the FAPESP grant 2005/04558-0, and FAEPEX. We thank Benoit Charbonneau for his comments on a preliminary version of this paper.

2 Riemann surfaces, Jacobians and the Poincaré line bundle

Let Σ be a closed Riemann surface of genus $g > 1$, regarded as the closed, oriented (real) surface obtained from a regular $4g$ -gon Δ (whose edges we label as $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_1^{-1}, \beta_1^{-1}, \dots, \beta_g^{-1}$ with gluing relation $\Pi_i[\alpha_i, \beta_i] = 1$) endowed with a conformal class of Riemannian metrics $\mathcal{G}$. We denote by a_i and by b_i the loops

determined by the α_i 's and β_i 's, respectively. The integral homology of Σ in dimension 1 is then spanned by the homology classes determined by a_i and b_i .

For dimensional reasons, every metric on such Σ has closed $(1, 1)$ -form and is therefore Kähler. We thus obtain decompositions (cf. [8, Ch. 0])

$$H^n(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H^{p,q}(\Sigma; \mathbb{C})$$

$$H^{p,q}(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}) = \overline{H^{q,p}(\Sigma; \mathbb{C})}$$

(where $n = 0, 1, 2$, $p, q = 0, 1$ and $H^{p,q}(\Sigma; \mathbb{C})$ stands for the Dolbeault cohomology) which hold throughout the conformal class $\mathcal{G}$. In particular

$$H^1(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}) = H^{1,0}(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}) \oplus \overline{H^{1,0}(\Sigma; \mathbb{C})}$$

so that, fixing a basis $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ for $H^0(K_\Sigma)$, de Rham's theorem shows that if we set $A_i(\omega_j) = \int_{a_i} \omega_j$ and $B_i(\omega_j) = \int_{b_i} \omega_j$, the $2g$ elements

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} A_i(\omega_1) \\ \vdots \\ A_i(\omega_g) \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_i = \begin{pmatrix} B_i(\omega_1) \\ \vdots \\ B_i(\omega_g) \end{pmatrix}$$

determine an $\mathbb{R}$ -basis Λ for the vector space $\mathbb{C}^g$. Thus $J = \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda$, called the *Jacobian* of the Riemann surface Σ , is a complex torus of dimension g which clearly depends only on the conformal structure $\mathcal{G}$ on Σ .

Once we fix a base point $p \in \Sigma$, we may define Abel's map $\text{Ab}_p : \Sigma \longrightarrow J$ by:

$$\text{Ab}_p(q) = \begin{pmatrix} \int_p^q \omega_1 \\ \vdots \\ \int_p^q \omega_g \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\int_p^q$ denotes integration along any piecewise smooth path joining p to q . This (holomorphic) mapping can be naturally extended to a mapping of $\text{Div}^0(\Sigma)$ (the group of degree zero divisors on Σ) onto J :

$$\text{Ab}_p : \text{Div}^0(\Sigma) \longrightarrow J.$$

By means of Riemann's bilinearity relations ([8, Ch. 2]) one can show that any such divisor which is mapped to 0 by Ab_p is associated to a global meromorphic function, and thus J can be regarded as the quotient of $\text{Div}^0(\Sigma)$ modulo principal, degree-zero divisors:

$$J = \frac{\text{Div}^0(\Sigma)}{\text{PDiv}^0(\Sigma)}.$$

This leads to an identification between J and $\text{Pic}^0(\Sigma)$, the *Picard group* of topologically trivial, holomorphic line bundles on Σ . Alternatively, a point in

J can also be thought of as a flat connection on the topologically trivial line bundle $\underline{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \Sigma$.

Once and for all, we fix (a) the standard flat metric on J , (b) a base point $p \in \Sigma$ and (c) the corresponding metric on Σ induced by the Abel map Ab_p .

Proposition 1. *Given a spin structure on J , there exists a spin structure on Σ which is compatible with the Abel map $\text{Ab}_p : \Sigma \hookrightarrow J$.*

Proof. The key point in this proposition is that a spin submanifold of a manifold endowed with a spin structure has a canonical spin structure *once we choose a spin structure for its normal bundle* [15, Chapter 2, Proposition 1.2]. Since the normal bundle $N\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ is oriented and spin, it is (differentially) trivial whenever its (real) rank is at least three, which happens precisely when $g > 2$, see [15, Chapter 2, Proposition 2.2.15]. In that case, it is obvious that we can endow $N\Sigma$ with a spin structure, and therefore $T\Sigma$ is assigned a spin structure compatible with that of J . As for the case $g = 2$, we remark that $c_1(J) = 0$ whence

$$0 = (\text{Ab}_p)^* c_1(J) = c_1(N\Sigma \oplus T\Sigma) = c_1(N\Sigma) + c_1(T\Sigma)$$

and therefore $N\Sigma$, regarded as an U_1 -bundle, is differentially isomorphic to the inverse of the tangent bundle of Σ . Next we recall that a spin structure on a U_1 -bundle P on Σ is simply a bundle map $\tilde{P} \rightarrow P$ which restricts to the two-sheeted covering $z \mapsto z^2$ on each fiber. So, if $P_{U_1}(T\Sigma)$ is given by the Čech cocycle

$$g_{\alpha\beta} : U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \rightarrow U_1$$

a spin structure

$$\tilde{P} \rightarrow P_{U_1}(T\Sigma)$$

is represented by $\{h_{\alpha\beta}\}$ with $h_{\alpha\beta}^2 = g_{\alpha\beta}$; thus $P_{U_1}(T\Sigma^{-1})$ is represented by $\{g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}\}$ so that $\{h_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}\}$ is a Čech cocycle which determines a spin structure on $T\Sigma^{-1} \simeq N\Sigma$:

$$\tilde{Q} \rightarrow P_{U_1}((T\Sigma)^{-1})$$

Therefore, $N\Sigma$ can always be given a spin structure. $\square$

Remark 2. In view of this Proposition, and bearing in mind that Σ is by construction a Riemannian submanifold of J , we see that the Abel map Ab_p intertwines Clifford multiplications $\cdot_J$ on J and $\cdot_\Sigma$ on Σ . By this we mean that given differential forms φ, ψ on J , the following relation holds:

$$\text{Ab}_p^*(\varphi \cdot_J \psi) = \text{Ab}_p^*(\varphi) \cdot_\Sigma \text{Ab}_p^*(\psi)$$

A dual Jacobian $J^\vee$ of Σ may be described in the following terms: to the maximal lattice Λ we associate the dual lattice

$$\Lambda^\vee = \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}) \subset (\mathbb{C}^g)^\vee$$

consisting of all linear functionals on $\mathbb{C}^g$ that assume only integer values on Λ . The lattice $\Lambda^\vee$ is easily seen to be maximal, so that the quotient $J^\vee = (\mathbb{C}^g)^\vee / \Lambda^\vee$ is also a complex torus of dimension g .

Since J has trivial tangent bundle, any functional $\eta \in (\mathbb{C}^g)^\vee$ may be regarded as a flat connection 1-form on the trivial line bundle $\underline{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow J$; the induced topologically trivial, holomorphic line bundle on J is denoted by L_η . Moreover, any such bundle is of the form L_η for some η , and L_η is isomorphic to $L_{\eta'}$ if and only if η and η' differ by an element of the dual lattice $\Lambda^\vee$; cf. [5, Ch. 3]. Dually, any topologically trivial, holomorphic line bundle $L \rightarrow J^\vee$ is of the form L_ξ for some $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^g$, and two such bundles are isomorphic precisely when the functionals from which they are constructed have the same image in J .

These remarks suggest the following construction: on the product $J \times (\mathbb{C}^g)^\vee$, we let $\Lambda^\vee$ act on the trivial line bundle $\underline{\mathbb{C}} = J \times (\mathbb{C}^g)^\vee \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow J \times (\mathbb{C}^g)^\vee$ with product connection by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^\vee \times \underline{\mathbb{C}} &\longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}} \\ (\mu, (\xi, \eta, z)) &\longmapsto (\xi, \eta + \mu, e^{2\pi i \mu(\xi)} z) \end{aligned}$$

The quotient of $\underline{\mathbb{C}}$ by this action preserves the connection and defines a line bundle $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow J \times J^\vee$ with connection 1-form ω which, in terms of dual, orthonormal real local coordinates $\{\xi_j\}_{j=1}^{2g}$ of J and $\{\eta_j\}_{j=1}^{2g}$ of $J^\vee$ we can write as

$$\omega = 2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{2g} (\eta_j d\xi_j - \xi_j d\eta_j) \quad (1)$$

This is called the *Poincaré line bundle* of $J \times J^\vee$; accordingly, the connection with which it is endowed is called the Poincaré connection. Its remarkable feature is that it provides a *duality data* for topologically trivial, holomorphic line bundles between J and $J^\vee$, i.e.:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}|_{J \times \{\eta\}} &\simeq L_\eta \longrightarrow J \\ \mathcal{P}|_{\{\xi\} \times J^\vee} &\simeq L_{-\xi} \longrightarrow J^\vee \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the curvature of the Poincaré connection (1) is given by

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{P}} = d\omega = 4\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{2g} d\eta_j \wedge d\xi_j \quad ; \quad (2)$$

Choosing dual unitary bases $\{\gamma_k = \xi_{2k-1} + i\xi_{2k}\}_{k=1}^g$ and $\{\rho_k = \eta_{2k-1} + i\eta_{2k}\}_{k=1}^g$ for $\mathbb{C}^g$ and $(\mathbb{C}^g)^\vee$, respectively, we get:

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{P}} = 2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^g (d\rho_k \wedge d\bar{\gamma}_k + d\bar{\rho}_k \wedge d\gamma_k)$$

In particular, $\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}$ is of type $(1,1)$ as a 2-form on $J \times J^\vee$ with respect to the product complex structure, and as such it induces a holomorphic structure on $\mathcal{P}$.

In what follows, K_Σ will (as usual) denote the canonical bundle of Σ . Recall that the space of abelian differentials $H^0(K_\Sigma)$ has dimension g ; this allows us to provide $J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma)$ with a flat hyperkähler structure, as follows. As above, let $\{\eta_j\}_{j=1}^{2g}$ be orthonormal real local coordinates of $J^\vee$ and let $\{s_j\}_{j=1}^{2g}$ be a real basis of $H^0(K_\Sigma)$ so that $\{\sigma_k = s_{2k-1} + is_{2k}\}_{k=1}^g$ is a basis of holomorphic 1-forms on Σ . We define the following complex structures on the product $J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma)$

$$\begin{array}{lll} I_1(\partial/\partial\eta_{2k-1}) = \partial/\partial\eta_{2k} & I_2(\partial/\partial\eta_{2k-1}) = \partial/\partial s_{2k-1} & I_3(\partial/\partial\eta_{2k-1}) = \partial/\partial s_{2k} \\ I_1(\partial/\partial\eta_{2k}) = -\partial/\partial\eta_{2k-1} & I_2(\partial/\partial\eta_{2k}) = -\partial/\partial s_{2k} & I_3(\partial/\partial\eta_{2k}) = \partial/\partial s_{2k-1} \\ I_1(\partial/\partial s_{2k-1}) = \partial/\partial s_{2k} & I_2(\partial/\partial s_{2k-1}) = -\partial/\partial\eta_{2k-1} & I_3(\partial/\partial s_{2k-1}) = -\partial/\partial\eta_{2k} \\ I_1(\partial/\partial s_{2k}) = -\partial/\partial s_{2k-1} & I_2(\partial/\partial s_{2k}) = \partial/\partial\eta_{2k} & I_3(\partial/\partial s_{2k}) = -\partial/\partial\eta_{2k-1} \end{array}$$

The flatness of the metric on $J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma)$ makes these structures integrable. Note that I_1 is the product of a complex structure on $J^\vee$ with a complex structure on $H^0(K_\Sigma)$.

Finally, notice also that $J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma)$ can be identified with the total space of the tangent bundle of $J^\vee$.

3 Higgs bundles and hyperholomorphic connections

Let $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a Hermitian vector bundle provided with a unitary connection ∇ and a bundle morphism $\theta : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes K_\Sigma$. Hitchin's equations for ∇ and θ

$$\begin{cases} F_\nabla + [\theta, \theta^*] = 0 \\ \bar{\partial}_\nabla \theta = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

were introduced in [10] as the dimensional reduction of the anti-self-duality equations from 4 to 2 dimensions, and have been studied extensively in the past two decades. Relevant to us is the fact that ∇ induces a holomorphic structure on the bundle $\mathcal{E}$, so that the second equation simply says that the morphism θ is holomorphic with respect to the connection.

The pair $(\mathcal{E}, \theta)$ consisting of a holomorphic bundle $\mathcal{E}$ and a section $\theta \in H^0(\text{End}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes K_\Sigma)$ is known as a *Higgs bundle*; θ is called the *Higgs field*. The trivial Higgs bundle is the pair $(\mathcal{O}_\Sigma, 0)$. A morphism between Higgs bundles $(\mathcal{E}, \theta)$ and $(\mathcal{E}', \theta')$ is simply a bundle morphism $\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'$ rendering the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathcal{E} \otimes K_\Sigma \\ \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \otimes 1 \\ \mathcal{E}' & \xrightarrow{\theta'} & \mathcal{E}' \otimes K_\Sigma \end{array}$$

Accordingly, a Higgs subbundle of $(\mathcal{E}, \theta)$ is merely a subbundle $\mathcal{E}'$ of $\mathcal{E}$ which θ maps into $\mathcal{E}' \otimes K_\Sigma$.

The bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \theta)$ is said to be *stable* if the *slope* $\mu(\mathcal{E}) = \deg(\mathcal{E})/\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{E})$ of $\mathcal{E}$ is strictly greater than that of any of its proper Higgs subbundles; $(\mathcal{E}, \theta)$ is called *semistable* if equality can occur, and *polystable* if it is the direct sum of stable Higgs bundles with the same slope.

We also may regard a Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \theta)$ as a 2-term complex of coherent $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma$ -modules:

$$\mathbf{E} = \left\{ \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{E} \otimes K_\Sigma \right\} .$$

This allows us to compute the hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^*(\mathbf{E})$, as described in [8, Ch. 3].

A key ingredient to the Nahm transform we are about to define will be the following vanishing theorem due to Hausel [9, Corollary 5.1.4]:

Proposition 3. *If $\mathbf{E} = (\mathcal{E}, \theta)$ is a nontrivial stable Higgs bundle with zero slope, then both $\mathbb{H}^0(\mathbf{E})$ and $\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbf{E})$ vanish.*

This is essentially a consequence of (1) a famous lemma by Narasimhan and Seshadri [16], which states that if $f : E \rightarrow F$ is a nontrivial morphism between holomorphic vector bundles over a Riemann surface Σ , then there exist holomorphic vector bundles E_1, F_1, E_2 and F_2 and a morphism $E_2 \rightarrow F_1$ which is an isomorphism over an open Zariski subset U of Σ , fitting into the commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E_1 & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & E_2 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & F_2 & \longleftarrow & F & \longleftarrow & F_1 \longleftarrow 0 \end{array}$$

and (2) the fact that $E_2 \rightarrow F_1$ being an isomorphism over U implies $\deg(F_1) \geq \deg(E_2)$.

We are now in position to state the fundamental result first proved by Hitchin in [10] and later generalized by other authors:

Theorem 4. *If (∇, θ) is an irreducible solution of Hitchin's equations (3) on a complex vector bundle E , then the associated Higgs bundle is stable of degree zero. Conversely, to each stable Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \theta)$ of degree zero, there corresponds an irreducible unitary connection ∇ , unique up to gauge equivalence, which is compatible with the holomorphic structure of $\mathcal{E}$ and satisfies the first equation of (3).*

Finally, let $(X, \{I, J, K\})$ be a hyperkähler manifold. Given real numbers a, b and c with $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1$, the complex structure $L = aI + bJ + cK$ is said to be *induced* by the hyperkähler structure on X . A connection ∇ on a Hermitian vector bundle V over X is said to be *hyperholomorphic* if its curvature F_∇ is of type $(1, 1)$ with respect to *any* complex structure L induced by the hyperkähler structure. The Poincaré connection (1) is an example of a hyperholomorphic connection, as is shown in [1, Theorem 4]. It also is interesting to note that if $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} X = 4$, then a connection is anti-self-dual if and only if

it is hyperholomorphic, so that hyperholomorphicity may be thought of as a generalization of the anti-self-dual condition in four dimensions.

If we think of $SU(2)$ as the space of unit quaternions, we obtain an obvious action of $SU(2)$ on the bundle of differential forms over X , which one can easily check to be parallel, and therefore commutes with the trace Laplacian Δ on X . By Hodge theory [8, Ch. 0] it follows that if X is compact, this natural action of $SU(2)$ descends to an action on the cohomology level. This is the point where two results of Verbitsky [19] come into play : the first states that $\omega \in H^{2p}(X; \mathbb{C})$ is fixed by this action if and only if $\omega \in H_L^{p,p}(X)$ for every induced complex structure L , whereas the second asserts that the subspace $H^{inv} \subset H^2(X; \mathbb{C})$ of $SU(2)$ -invariant 2-forms is orthogonal to the 3-dimensional subspace generated by the Kähler forms $\omega_I, \omega_J, \omega_K$ ([19, Lemma 2.1]). Consequently, every hyperholomorphic connection ∇ on V is Hermitian-Einstein with constant zero with respect to any complex structure L induced by the hyperkähler structure; in other words, a hyperholomorphic connection ∇ satisfies $\Lambda_L F_\nabla = 0$, where Λ_L denotes contraction with the Kähler form associated with the complex structure L .

4 The transform

Let $\mathbf{E} = (\mathcal{E}, \theta)$ be a stable Higgs bundle over Σ of rank at least two, and let ∇ be the associated unitary connection, in the sense of Theorem 4. According to our previous remarks on the Poincaré bundle, given $\eta \in J^\vee$ we have a topologically trivial, holomorphic line bundle $L_\eta|_\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$.

Now to each pair $(\eta, \sigma) \in J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma)$ there corresponds a Higgs bundle $\mathbf{E}(\eta, \sigma) = (\mathcal{E}(\eta), \theta_{(\eta, \sigma)})$, where

$$\mathcal{E}(\eta) = \mathcal{E} \otimes (L_\eta|_\Sigma) \text{ and } \theta_{(\eta, \sigma)} = \theta \otimes \mathbf{1}_{L_\eta} + \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}(\eta)} \otimes \sigma ,$$

where $\sigma \in H^0(K_\Sigma)$ is now regarded as a map $\sigma : \mathcal{O}_\Sigma \rightarrow K_\Sigma$. Clearly, every such Higgs bundle is stable, since $(\mathcal{E}, \theta)$ is. Furthermore, the degree of each $\mathcal{E}(\eta)$ is zero, since L_η is topologically trivial.

The unitary connection associated to $(\mathcal{E}(\eta), \theta_{(\eta, \sigma)})$ is the tensor connection $\nabla_\eta = \nabla \otimes \mathbf{1}_{L_\eta} + \mathbf{1}_E \otimes 2\pi i \eta$, and it is not difficult to see that, for each $(\eta, \sigma) \in J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma)$, the pair $(\nabla_\eta, \theta_{(\eta, \sigma)})$ satisfies Hitchin's equations (3). Notice that $\overline{\partial_{\nabla_\eta}}$ yields the holomorphic structure of $\mathcal{E}(\eta)$. To simplify notation, we use $\overline{\partial}_\eta$ to denote $\overline{\partial_{\nabla_\eta}}$.

With this in mind, we define a family of first order differential operators parameterized by $J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma)$ in the following manner:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{(\eta, \sigma)} : L_{p+1}^2 \left(\mathcal{E}(\eta) \otimes (\Lambda_\Sigma^0 \oplus \Lambda_\Sigma^{1,1}) \right) &\longrightarrow L_p^2 \left(\mathcal{E}(\eta) \otimes (\Lambda_\Sigma^{1,0} \oplus \Lambda_\Sigma^{0,1}) \right) \\ \mathcal{D}_{(\eta, \sigma)} &= - \left(\overline{\partial}_\eta + \overline{\partial}_\eta^* \right) + \left(\theta_{(\eta, \sigma)} - \theta_{(\eta, \sigma)}^\vee \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta^\vee = i\theta^* \circ \Lambda + i\Lambda \circ \theta^*$ with Λ denoting the contraction with the Kähler form on Σ . More precisely, setting $\psi_0 \in L^2(\mathcal{E}(\eta) \otimes \Lambda_\Sigma^0)$ and $\psi_2 \in L^2(\mathcal{E}(\eta) \otimes \Lambda_\Sigma^{1,1})$,

one has:

$$\mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)}(\psi_0, \psi_2) = (-\bar{\partial}_\eta^* \psi_2 + \theta_{(\eta,\sigma)} \psi_0, -\bar{\partial}_\eta \psi_0 - \theta_{(\eta,\sigma)}^\vee \psi_2) \quad .$$

Modulo the algebraic term $(\theta_{(\eta,\sigma)} - \theta_{(\eta,\sigma)}^\vee)$, the operator $\mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)}$ is just the canonical Dirac operator of a Hermitian manifold; $\mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)}$ is therefore an elliptic differential operator for each choice of (η, σ) .

Remark 5. In [11], Hitchin introduced the following Dirac operator for Higgs bundles:

$$D : L_{p+1}^2(\mathcal{E} \otimes (\Lambda_\Sigma^0 \oplus \Lambda_\Sigma^0)) \longrightarrow L_p^2(\mathcal{E} \otimes (\Lambda_\Sigma^{1,0} \oplus \Lambda_\Sigma^{0,1}))$$

$$D(\phi_1, \phi_2) = (-\partial_\nabla \phi_1 + \theta \phi_2, -\theta^* \phi_1 - \bar{\partial}_\nabla \phi_2) \quad .$$

Using the Kähler identity $\bar{\partial}_\nabla^* = i\partial_\nabla \Lambda$ on $(1,1)$ -forms, it is easy to see that:

$$\mathcal{D}_{(0,0)}(\psi_0, \psi_2) = D(i\Lambda \psi_2, \psi_0) \quad .$$

Proposition 6. *If $(\mathcal{E}, \theta)$ is a stable Higgs bundle of degree zero and rank at least two, then $\ker \mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)} = 0$ for each (η, σ) .*

Proof. First notice that $\mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)}$ unfolds as a three-term complex of vector spaces

$$\mathbf{M}_{(\eta,\sigma)} = \{ L_{p+1}^2(\mathcal{E}(\eta)) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_\eta + \theta_{(\eta,\sigma)}} L_p^2(\mathcal{E}(\eta) \otimes (\Lambda_\Sigma^{1,0} \oplus \Lambda_\Sigma^{0,1})) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_\eta - \theta_{(\eta,\sigma)}} L_{p-1}^2(\mathcal{E}(\eta) \otimes \Lambda_\Sigma^{1,1}) \} \quad (4)$$

so that

$$\ker \mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)} = H^0(\mathbf{M}_{(\eta,\sigma)}) \oplus H^2(\mathbf{M}_{(\eta,\sigma)}) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{coker } \mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)} = H^1(\mathbf{M}_{(\eta,\sigma)}) \quad .$$

Using Hodge theory, it can be shown that $H^q(\mathbf{M}_{(\eta,\sigma)})$ is canonically isomorphic to the hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^q$ of the twisted complex

$$\mathbf{E}(\eta, \sigma) = \left\{ \mathcal{E}(\eta) \xrightarrow{\theta_{(\eta,\sigma)}} \mathcal{E}(\eta) \otimes K_\Sigma \right\} \quad ,$$

see [11, Section 7]. Since for each $(\eta, \sigma) \in J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma)$ the Higgs bundle $\mathbf{E}(\eta, \sigma)$ is stable of slope zero, it follows from Proposition 3 that $\mathbb{H}^0(\mathbf{E}(\eta, \sigma))$ and $\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbf{E}(\eta, \sigma))$ vanish, hence $\ker \mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)} = 0$. $\square$

We point out that we may fix a topological isomorphism

$$\mathcal{E} \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}$$

to identify each $L_p^2(\mathcal{E}(\eta) \otimes (\Lambda_\Sigma^{1,0} \oplus \Lambda_\Sigma^{0,1}))$ with $L_p^2(\mathcal{E} \otimes (\Lambda_\Sigma^{1,0} \oplus \Lambda_\Sigma^{0,1}))$ and think of $\text{coker } \mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)}$ as a subspace of $L_p^2(\mathcal{E} \otimes (\Lambda_\Sigma^{1,0} \oplus \Lambda_\Sigma^{0,1}))$. Direct calculation shows

that the dimension of $\text{coker } \mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)}$ does not vary in the σ -direction; i.e., that $\dim \text{coker } \mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)} = \dim \text{coker } \mathcal{D}_{(\eta,0)}$, whence

$$J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

$$(\eta, \sigma) \longmapsto \dim \text{coker } \mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)}$$

factors through the projection $J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma) \longrightarrow J^\vee$; compactness of $J^\vee$ allows us then to use the usual index-theoretic machinery (see [5, Chapter 3]) to conclude that the dimension of $\text{coker } \mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)}$ is constant along $J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma)$, since $\ker \mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)}$ vanishes for each (η, σ) . Therefore the assignment

$$J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma) \ni (\eta, \sigma) \longmapsto \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{(\eta,\sigma)} = \text{coker } \mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)}$$

defines a vector subbundle $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$ of the trivial Hilbert bundle

$$H^- = L_p^2 \left(\mathcal{E} \otimes (\Lambda_\Sigma^{1,0} \oplus \Lambda_\Sigma^{0,1}) \right) \times J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma) \longrightarrow J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma) \quad .$$

whose direct sum with the trivial Hilbert bundle

$$L_p^2(\mathcal{E} \otimes (\Lambda_\Sigma^0 \oplus \Lambda_\Sigma^{1,1})) \times J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma) \longrightarrow J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma)$$

we will denote by H . The rank of $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$ is given by minus the index of the operator $\mathcal{D}_{(0,0)}$, which is precisely the Dirac operator

$$\bar{\partial}_\eta \oplus \bar{\partial}_\eta^* : L_{p+1}^2 \left(\mathcal{E}(\eta) \otimes (\Lambda_\Sigma^0 \oplus \Lambda_\Sigma^{1,1}) \right) \longrightarrow L_p^2 \left(\mathcal{E}(\eta) \otimes (\Lambda_\Sigma^{1,0} \oplus \Lambda_\Sigma^{0,1}) \right) \quad .$$

Thus

$$\text{rk}(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}) = -2 \int_\Sigma \text{ch}(\mathcal{E}) \cdot \text{td}(\Sigma) = -\text{rk}(\mathcal{E}) \int_\Sigma c_1(\Sigma) = 2(g-1)\text{rk}(\mathcal{E}) \quad .$$

It is also important to observe that

$$H^1(\mathbf{M}_{(\eta,\sigma)}) \simeq \mathbb{H}^1(\mathbf{E}(\eta, \sigma)) \simeq \text{coker } H^0(\theta_{(\eta,\sigma)}) \oplus \ker H^1(\theta_{(\eta,\sigma)}) \quad , \quad (5)$$

where $H^0(\theta_{(\eta,\sigma)}) : H^0(\mathcal{E}(\eta)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{E}(\eta) \otimes K_\Sigma)$ and $H^1(\theta_{(\eta,\sigma)}) : H^1(\mathcal{E}(\eta)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{E}(\eta) \otimes K_\Sigma)$ are the maps induced by $\theta_{(\eta,\sigma)}$ in cohomology; see the proof of [3, Proposition 3.1.11] and also [12].

The dual operator:

$$\mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)}^* : L_p^2 \left(\mathcal{E}(\eta) \otimes (\Lambda_\Sigma^{1,0} \oplus \Lambda_\Sigma^{0,1}) \right) \longrightarrow L_{p-1}^2 \left(\mathcal{E}(\eta) \otimes (\Lambda_\Sigma^0 \oplus \Lambda_\Sigma^{1,1}) \right)$$

is given by

$$\mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)}^*(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = (\theta_{(\eta,\sigma)}^\vee \varphi_1 + \bar{\partial}_\eta^* \varphi_2, -\bar{\partial}_\eta \varphi_1 - \theta_{(\eta,\sigma)} \varphi_2) \quad .$$

The corresponding Laplacian operator

$$\mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)}^* \mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)} : L_{p+1}^2 \left(\mathcal{E}(\eta) \otimes (\Lambda_\Sigma^0 \oplus \Lambda_\Sigma^{1,1}) \right) \longrightarrow L_{p-1}^2 \left(\mathcal{E}(\eta) \otimes (\Lambda_\Sigma^0 \oplus \Lambda_\Sigma^{1,1}) \right)$$

is then given by

$$\mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)}^* \mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)}(\psi_0, \psi_2) = (i\Lambda(\theta_{(\eta,\sigma)}^* \theta_{(\eta,\sigma)} + \partial_{\bar{\eta}} \bar{\partial}_{\eta})\psi_0, i(\bar{\partial}_{\eta} \partial_{\bar{\eta}} + \theta_{(\eta,\sigma)} \theta_{(\eta,\sigma)}^*)\Lambda\psi_2) \quad . \quad (6)$$

To obtain this expression, we have used the Kähler identities $\bar{\partial}_{\eta}^* = i[\partial_{\eta}, \Lambda]$ and $\partial_{\eta}^* = -i[\bar{\partial}_{\eta}, \Lambda]$, and $\bar{\partial}_{\eta} \theta_{(\eta,\sigma)} = 0$.

Let us now denote by $G_{(\eta,\sigma)}$ the Green operator associated to the Laplacian $\mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)}^* \mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)}$ (i.e., a right inverse for $\mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)}^* \mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)}$). We are then able to define a family of operators

$$J^{\vee} \times H^0(K_{\Sigma}) \ni (\eta, \sigma) \longmapsto P_{(\eta,\sigma)} : L_p^2 \left(\mathcal{E} \otimes (\Lambda_{\Sigma}^{1,0} \oplus \Lambda_{\Sigma}^{0,1}) \right) \rightarrow L_p^2 \left(\mathcal{E} \otimes (\Lambda_{\Sigma}^{1,0} \oplus \Lambda_{\Sigma}^{0,1}) \right)$$

by setting

$$P_{(\eta,\sigma)} = 1 - \mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)} G_{(\eta,\sigma)} \mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)}^* \quad .$$

It is straightforward routine to check that P is a projector for $\widehat{\mathcal{E}} := \text{coker } \mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow H^-$. In other words, that each $P_{(\eta,\sigma)}$ is idempotent, selfadjoint, restricts to the identity on $\text{coker } \mathcal{D}_{(\eta,\sigma)}$ and vanishes on its orthogonal complement.

Hence, having fixed the trivial connection $\underline{d}$ on $H^- \rightarrow J^{\vee} \times H^0(K_{\Sigma})$, we may define a unitary connection $\widehat{\nabla}$ on the bundle $\widehat{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow J^{\vee} \times H^0(K_{\Sigma})$ by demanding commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_{J^{\vee} \times H^0(K_{\Sigma})}^0(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\nabla}} & \Lambda_{J^{\vee} \times H^0(K_{\Sigma})}^1(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Lambda_{J^{\vee} \times H^0(K_{\Sigma})}^0(H^-) & \xrightarrow{\underline{d}} & \Lambda_{J^{\vee} \times H^0(K_{\Sigma})}^1(H^-) \end{array}$$

Definition. The vector bundle $\widehat{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow J^{\vee} \times H^0(K_{\Sigma})$, endowed with the connection $\widehat{\nabla}$, is called the Nahm transform of $(\mathcal{E}, \theta)$.

Remark 7. We should also point out that the space $J^{\vee} \times H^0(K_{\Sigma})$ can be regarded as the moduli space of rank one, degree zero Higgs bundles on Σ :

$$J^{\vee} \times H^0(K_{\Sigma}) \ni (\eta, \sigma) \longleftrightarrow (L_{\eta}, \sigma) \quad .$$

Therefore, the Nahm transform presented here is a *flat Nahm transform* in the sense of [14].

Proposition 8. The Nahm transforms of isomorphic, stable, degree zero Higgs bundles are gauge-equivalent.

Proof. Indeed, if $\mathbf{E} = (\mathcal{E}, \theta)$ and $\mathbf{E}' = (\mathcal{E}', \theta')$ are isomorphic as Higgs bundles, then there is a unitary bundle isomorphism $u : \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}'$ such that $\theta' = u\theta u^{-1}$. It follows that $(\theta')^* = u(\theta^*)u^{-1}$ since $u^* = u^{-1}$ and that

$$(\bar{\partial}')^* \psi = u \bar{\partial}^* (u^{-1} \psi) \quad .$$

Following our recipe, we form $\mathcal{D}'$, and notice that

$$(u \otimes 1) \mathcal{D}'_{(\eta, \sigma)} \psi = \mathcal{D}_{(\eta, \sigma)}^* \left((u \otimes 1)^{-1} \psi \right)$$

i.e., $U = (u \otimes 1)^{-1}$ defines a natural isomorphism $\text{coker } \mathcal{D}'_{(\eta, \sigma)} \rightarrow \text{coker } \mathcal{D}_{(\eta, \sigma)}$ which is independent of (η, σ) in the sense that $\underline{d}U = 0$. Now the identities

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{(\eta, \sigma)}^* \mathcal{D}_{(\eta, \sigma)} G_{(\eta, \sigma)} \psi &= \psi \\ \mathcal{D}_{(\eta, \sigma)}'^* \mathcal{D}'_{(\eta, \sigma)} G'_{(\eta, \sigma)} \psi &= \psi \end{aligned}$$

imply $G'_{(\eta, \sigma)} \psi = U G_{(\eta, \sigma)} (U^{-1} \psi)$, hence $P'_{(\eta, \sigma)} = U P_{(\eta, \sigma)} U^{-1}$. Therefore we have

$$\widehat{\nabla}' = (UPU^{-1}) \underline{d} = UP \underline{d} U^{-1} = \widehat{\nabla}^U.$$

as we wished to show. $\square$

Proposition 9. *The Nahm transform is additive, i.e.:*

$$\left(\widehat{\mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \mathcal{E}_2}, \widehat{\nabla_1 \oplus \nabla_2} \right) \simeq \left(\widehat{\mathcal{E}_1} \oplus \widehat{\mathcal{E}_2}, \widehat{\nabla_1} \oplus \widehat{\nabla_2} \right).$$

It follows from this proposition that the Nahm transform is well defined for solutions of Hitchin's equations that are *without flat factors*, or equivalently for polystable Higgs bundles of zero slope and no rank one summands.

Proof. In fact, if $\mathcal{E}$ is the direct sum of the bundles $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$, it is fairly obvious that, under the decomposition:

$$L_p^2 \left(\mathcal{E}(\eta) \otimes (\Lambda_{\Sigma}^{1,0} \oplus \Lambda_{\Sigma}^{0,1}) \right) \simeq L_p^2 \left(\mathcal{E}_1(\eta) \otimes (\Lambda_{\Sigma}^{1,0} \oplus \Lambda_{\Sigma}^{0,1}) \right) \oplus L_p^2 \left(\mathcal{E}_2(\eta) \otimes (\Lambda_{\Sigma}^{1,0} \oplus \Lambda_{\Sigma}^{0,1}) \right),$$

the operator

$$\mathcal{D}_{(\eta, \sigma)} : L_p^2 \left(\mathcal{E}(\eta) \otimes (\Lambda_{\Sigma}^0 \oplus \Lambda_{\Sigma}^{1,1}) \right) \rightarrow L_{p-1}^2 \left(\mathcal{E}(\eta) \otimes (\Lambda_{\Sigma}^{1,0} \oplus \Lambda_{\Sigma}^{0,1}) \right)$$

splits as $\mathcal{D}_{1,(\eta, \sigma)} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{2,(\eta, \sigma)}$, hence $\text{coker } \mathcal{D}_{(\eta, \sigma)} = \text{coker } \mathcal{D}_{1,(\eta, \sigma)} \oplus \text{coker } \mathcal{D}_{2,(\eta, \sigma)}$. Moreover, $G_{(\eta, \sigma)} = G_{1,(\eta, \sigma)} \oplus G_{2,(\eta, \sigma)}$ and $P_{(\eta, \sigma)} = P_{1,(\eta, \sigma)} \oplus P_{2,(\eta, \sigma)}$ so that

$$\widehat{\nabla} = P_{(\eta, \sigma)} \underline{d} = \widehat{\nabla}_1 \oplus \widehat{\nabla}_2$$

as claimed. $\square$

Proposition 10. *The Nahm transform of an irreducible solution of Hitchin's equations with a vanishing Higgs field is the pullback from a bundle with unitary connection $(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}, \widehat{\nabla})$ over $J^{\vee}$. Moreover, the fiber $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\eta}$ can be identified with $H^1(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}(-\eta))^{\vee} \oplus H^1(\mathcal{E}(\eta))$ (where $^{\vee}$ here means taking duals).*

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{E}, \theta)$ be the corresponding stable Higgs bundle with slope zero and $\theta = 0$. Then the fibers of the transformed bundle $\widehat{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma)$ are given by the kernel of the operator $\mathcal{D}_{(\eta, \sigma)}^* = -\bar{\partial}_\eta^* - \bar{\partial}_\eta + 1 \otimes \varphi_\sigma$, where $\varphi_\sigma = \bar{\sigma} - \sigma$. Now given t in the interval $I = [0, 1]$, consider the operator

$$T_{(\eta, \sigma, t)} : L_p^2 \left(\mathcal{E} \otimes (\Lambda_\Sigma^0 \oplus \Lambda_\Sigma^{1,1}) \right) \rightarrow L_{p-1}^2 \left(\mathcal{E} \otimes (\Lambda_\Sigma^{1,0} \oplus \Lambda_\Sigma^{0,1}) \right)$$

$$T_{(\eta, \sigma, t)} = \mathcal{D}_{(\eta, t\sigma)} \quad .$$

By our previous arguments, $T_{(\eta, \sigma, t)}$ is an injective Fredholm operator for each $(\eta, \sigma, t) \in J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma) \times I$, with the same index as $\mathcal{D}_{(\eta, \sigma)}$. So we have a complex vector bundle

$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_I \longrightarrow J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma) \times I$$

with fibers given by $\text{coker } T_{(\eta, \sigma, t)}$, which gives us an isomorphism of vector bundles between $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_0 = \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_I|_{J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma) \times \{0\}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_1 = \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_I|_{J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma) \times \{1\}}$. But $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_1$ coincides with the transformed bundle $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$, while the fibers of $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_0$ are given by

$$\left(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_0 \right)_{(\eta, \sigma)} = \text{coker } \mathcal{D}_{(\eta, 0)} \quad .$$

Therefore, $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_0$ coincides with the pullback of the bundle

$$J^\vee \ni \eta \longmapsto \text{coker } \left(\bar{\partial}_\eta + \bar{\partial}_\eta^* \right) \quad (7)$$

by the obvious projection

$$J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma) \longrightarrow J^\vee \quad .$$

A similar argument allows us to conclude that the transformed connection $\widehat{\nabla}$ is also the pullback from a connection on the bundle (7). The final claim follows from (5) and Serre duality. $\square$

In other words, the Nahm transform of an irreducible solution of Hitchin's equations with a vanishing Higgs field coincides with the pullback of a (possibly trivial) extension of the Nahm transform (in the sense of [18, Section 4]) of $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ by the Nahm transform of $(\mathcal{E}^\vee, \nabla^\vee)$.

Everything said so far is independent of the choice of a complex structure on $J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma)$. We now fix a hyperkähler structure (I_1, I_2, I_3) on $J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma)$, as defined in the end of Section 2.

Proposition 11. *The transformed connection is hyperholomorphic.*

In particular, if g is even (so that $J^\vee$ is hyperkähler) and the Higgs field vanishes, then the transformed connection is the pullback of a hyperholomorphic connection on $J^\vee$.

Proof. One can think of the complex (4) as a monad (of trivial, infinite dimensional vector bundles) over the hyperkähler manifold $J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma)$, so that our transformed bundle is exactly the cohomology of this monad. Since the operators in (4) vary holomorphically with respect to any of the complex structures induced by the hyperkähler structure, we conclude from general theory (cf. [5, Section 3.1]) that $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$ has a holomorphic structure with respect to each complex structure in $J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma)$, with which $\widehat{\nabla}$ is compatible. Hence $F_{\widehat{\nabla}}$ must be of type (1, 1) with respect to all complex structures. $\square$

Summing up the work done so far, we have proved the first part of our main theorem, namely that the Nahm transform of a solution of Hitchin's equations on a Riemann surface Σ is a Hermitian vector bundle over $J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma)$ provided with a unitary connection which is hyperholomorphic. The second part of the Main Theorem is proved below.

Proposition 12. *The holomorphic structure induced by $\widehat{\nabla}$ on $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$ with respect to a product complex structure extends to a holomorphic bundle over $J^\vee \times \mathbb{P}(H^0(K_\Sigma) \oplus \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. Consider a product complex structure on $J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma)$, and let $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$ denote the holomorphic vector bundle over $J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma)$ given by the transformed bundle $\widehat{E}$ provided by the holomorphic structure $\overline{\partial}_{\widehat{\nabla}}$. We must exhibit a holomorphic vector bundle $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$ over $J^\vee \times \mathbb{P}^g$ such that $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}|_{J^\vee \times \mathbb{C}^g} \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{E}}$, where we have identified $\mathbb{P}(H^0(K_\Sigma) \oplus \mathbb{C})$ with $\mathbb{P}^g$ and $H^0(K_\Sigma)$ with $\mathbb{C}^g$, the big open cell in $\mathbb{P}^g$. Following Bonsdorff [3], we fix a basis of sections $z_0, \dots, z_g$ of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^g}(1))$ or, in more pedestrian terms, homogeneous coordinates for $\mathbb{P}^g$, where $H^0(K_\Sigma)$ corresponds to the affine space $z_0 \neq 0$. Let then $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_g\}$ be a basis of holomorphic 1-forms on Σ , and define the Higgs field

$$\theta_{(\eta, [z_0 : \dots : z_g])} = z_0 (\theta \otimes \mathbf{1}_{L_\eta}) + \mathbf{1}_{E(\eta)} \otimes \sigma \quad ,$$

so that

$$\theta_{(\eta, [1 : z_1 : \dots : z_g])} = \theta_{(\eta, \sigma)} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{(\eta, [0 : z_1 : \dots : z_g])} = \mathbf{1}_{E(\eta)} \otimes \sigma \quad ,$$

where $\sigma = \sum_{k=1}^g z_k \sigma_k$. Thus we obtain a holomorphic family of Higgs bundles

$$\mathbf{E}_{(\eta, [z])} = \left\{ \mathcal{E}(\eta) \xrightarrow{\theta_{(\eta, [z])}} \mathcal{E}(\eta) \otimes K_\Sigma \right\}$$

parameterized by $J^\vee \times \mathbb{P}^g$. For $(\eta, [z])$ on the affine subset $J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma)$ it follows from Proposition 3 that $\mathbb{H}^0(\mathbf{E}_{(\eta, [z])}) = \mathbb{H}^2(\mathbf{E}_{(\eta, [z])}) = 0$. Thus we obtain a holomorphic vector bundle

$$J_\Sigma^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma) \ni (\eta, [0 : z_1 : \dots : z_g]) \longmapsto \mathbb{H}^1(\mathbf{E}_{(\eta, [0 : z_1 : \dots : z_g])})$$

which, by general theory (cf. [5, Section 3.1]), coincides with $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$. Now we claim that the hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^\bullet(\mathbf{E}_{(\eta, [0 : z_1 : \dots : z_g])})$ is also concentrated in dimension 1. It will then follow that the assignment

$$J^\vee \times \mathbb{P}^g \ni (\eta, [z]) \longmapsto \mathbb{H}^1(\Sigma, \mathbf{E}_{(\eta, [z])})$$

provides a holomorphic extension $\overline{\widehat{\mathcal{E}}}$ of $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$ to $J^\vee \times \mathbb{P}^g$.

Indeed, $\mathbb{H}^0(\mathbf{E}_{(\eta, [0:z_1:\dots:z_g])})$ can be identified with global holomorphic sections of the kernel of the bundle morphism

$$\mathcal{E}(\eta) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}(\eta)} \otimes \sigma} \mathcal{E}(\eta) \otimes K_\Sigma .$$

Since $\sigma = \sum_{k=1}^g z_k \sigma_k$ is nontrivial and vanishes only at finitely many points, we conclude that $\ker(1 \otimes \sigma)$ is the zero sheaf, hence $\mathbb{H}^0(\mathbf{E}_{(\eta, [0:z_1:\dots:z_g])}) = 0$. Now Serre duality allows us to identify

$$\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbf{E}_{(\eta, [0:z_1:\dots:z_g])}) \simeq \mathbb{H}^0(\mathbf{E}_{(\eta, [0:z_1:\dots:z_g])}^\vee \otimes K_\Sigma)^\vee$$

which again we regard as the space of global holomorphic sections of the kernel of the morphism

$$\mathcal{E}(\eta)^\vee \xrightarrow{\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}(\eta)^\vee} \otimes \sigma} \mathcal{E}(\eta)^\vee \otimes K_\Sigma .$$

Arguing as above, we conclude that $\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbf{E}_{(\eta, [0:z_1:\dots:z_g])}) = 0$. $\square$

Remark 13. In principle, the Nahm transformed pair $(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}, \widehat{\nabla})$ depends on the choice of point $p \in \Sigma$, which fixes the Abel map. It would be interesting to analyze exactly how this dependence goes. One idea would be to define a “universal” transformed bundle $\widehat{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow \Sigma \times J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma)$, such that $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}|_{\{p\} \times J^\vee \times H^0(K_\Sigma)}$ coincides with the Nahm transform of $(\mathcal{E}, \theta)$ induced by the point p .

References

- [1] C. Bartocci and M. Jardim, Hyperkähler Nahm transforms. CRM Proc. Lecture Notes volume 38 (2004), 103–111.
- [2] O. Biquard and M. Jardim, Asymptotic behaviour and the moduli space of doubly-periodic instantons. J. Eur. Math. Soc. **3** (2001), 335–375.
- [3] J. Bonsdorff, A Fourier transformation for Higgs bundles. J. Reine Angew. Math. **591** (2006), 21–48.
- [4] J. Bonsdorff, A Fourier transformation for Higgs bundles. DPhil thesis, Oxford (2002).
- [5] S.K. Donaldson and P.B. Kronheimer, *The geometry of four-manifolds*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1990.
- [6] O. García-Prada, The geometry of the vortex equation. DPhil thesis, Oxford (1991).
- [7] O. García-Prada, D. Hernández Ruipérez, F. Pioli and C. Tejero Prieto, Fourier–Mukai and Nahm transforms for holomorphic triples on elliptic curves. J. Geom. Phys. **55** (2005), 353–384.

- [8] P. Griffiths and P. Harris, *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, NY, 1994.
- [9] T. Hausel, Vanishing of intersection numbers on the moduli space of Higgs bundles. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998), 1011-1040.
- [10] N. Hitchin, The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **55** (1987), 59–126.
- [11] N. Hitchin, The Dirac operator. In: *Invitations to geometry and topology*, 208–232. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2002.
- [12] M. Jardim, Construction of doubly-periodic instantons. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **216** (2001), 1–15.
- [13] M. Jardim, Nahm transform and spectral curves for doubly-periodic instantons. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **225** (2002), 639–668.
- [14] M. Jardim, A survey on Nahm transform. *J. Geom. Phys.* **52** (2004), 313–327.
- [15] H.B. Lawson and M.-L. Michelsohn, *Spin Geometry* Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.
- [16] M. Narasimhan & C. Seshadri, *Stable and unitary bundles on a compact Riemann surface*, *Annals of Mathematics* 82 (1965), 540-564
- [17] S. Szabo, Nahm transform for integrable connections on the Riemann sphere. To appear in *Bull. Soc. Math. France* (2008).
- [18] C. Tejero Prieto, Fourier–Mukai transform and adiabatic curvature of spectral bundles for Landau Hamiltonians on Riemann surfaces. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **265** (2006), 373–396.
- [19] M. Verbitsky, Hyperholomorphic bundles over a hyperkähler manifold. *J. Algebraic Geom.* **5** (1996), 633-669.